Minnetonka, Hennepin County, Minnesota

Wetland Delineation Report

Prepared for

City of Minnetonka

by

Kjolhaug Environmental Services Company, Inc. (KES Project No. 2016-166)

October 7, 2016

Minnetonka, Hennepin County, Minnesota

Wetland Delineation Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ti	tle P	age
1.	WETLAND DELINEATION SUMMARY	1
2.	OVERVIEW	2
3.	METHODS	2
4.	RESULTS	3
	4.1 Review of NWI, Soils, Public Waters and NHD Information	3
	4.2 Wetland Determinations and Delineations	4
	4.3 MnRAM Analysis	4
	4.4 Request for Wetland Boundary and Jurisdictional Determination	4
5.	CERTIFICATION OF DELINEATION	5

FIGURES

- 1. Site Location
- 2. Existing Conditions
- 3. National Wetlands Inventory
- 4. Soil Survey
- 5. DNR Public Waters Inventory
- 6. National Hydrography Dataset

APPENDICES

- A. Joint Application Form for Activities Affecting Water Resources in Minnesota
- B. Wetland Delineation Data Forms
- C. Precipitation Data
- D. MnRAM Analysis Output Results

Minnetonka, Hennepin County, Minnesota

Wetland Delineation Report

1. WETLAND DELINEATION SUMMARY

- The 2.28-acre 4312 Shady Oak Road site was inspected on September 30, 2016 for the presence and extent of wetland.
- The National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) map showed one PEM1C/PFO1A wetland within the site boundary.
- The soil survey showed Muskego & Houghton as the hydric soil types within the site boundary.
- The DNR Public Waters showed no DNR Public Waters, Wetlands or Watercourses within the site boundary. DNR Public Water 27-777P (unnamed) was shown to be less than 1000 feet from site boundaries.
- The National Hydrography Dataset did not show any features present on the site.
- One Type 3 (PEM1C) shallow marsh wetland was identified and delineated within the site boundary.

2. OVERVIEW

The 2.28-acre 4312 Shady Oak Road site was inspected on September 30, 2016 for the presence and extent of wetland. The property was located in Section 23, Township 117 North, Range 22 West at 4312 Shady Oak Road and 4292 Oak Drive Lane in the City of Minnetonka, Hennepin County, Minnesota (**Figure 1**), and corresponding to Hennepin County PID's 2311722420057 and 2311722420036.

The review area consisted of a small retail area surrounded by paved parking lot in the east portion of the site, and a single-family home surrounded by mowed lawn in the west portion of the site. Wooded area in the very southwest corner of the site consisted of a canopy of cottonwood, green ash, American elm, mulberry, and boxelder trees and a shrub layer of common buckthorn with trace amounts of chokecherry and honeysuckle. One large red oak tree was also observed. Where herbaceous vegetation was present species included reed canary grass, smooth brome, white snakeroot, Virginia creeper, grape, annual ragweed, garlic mustard, fireweed, nightshade, Canada thistle, motherwort, and mare's tail.

The site was bordered by single-family homes to the north, west, and southwest and commercial property to the south. Topography sloped downhill from the northeast to the southwest.

One wetland was delineated on the site. The delineated wetland boundaries and existing conditions are shown on **Figure 2**.

Appendix A of this report includes a Joint Application Form for Activities Affecting Water Resources in Minnesota that requests: (1) a wetland boundary and type determination under the Minnesota Wetland Conservation Act (WCA), and (2) delineation concurrence and a Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination (PJD) under Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act.

3. METHODS

Wetlands were identified using the Routine Determination method described in the <u>Corps of</u> <u>Engineers</u> Wetlands <u>Delineation Manual</u> (Waterways Experiment Station, 1987) and the <u>Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual</u>: Midwest Region (Version 2.0) as required under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and the Minnesota Wetland Conservation Act.

Wetland boundaries were identified as the upper-most extent of wetland that met criteria for hydric soils, hydrophytic vegetation, and wetland hydrology. Wetland-upland boundaries were marked with pin flags.

Soils, vegetation, and hydrology were documented at a representative location along the wetlandupland boundary. Plant species dominance was estimated based on the percent aerial or basal coverage visually estimated within a 30-foot radius for trees and vines, a 15-foot radius for the shrub layer, and a 5-foot radius for the herbaceous layer within the community type sampled. Soils were characterized to a minimum depth of 24 inches (unless otherwise noted) using a <u>Munsell Soil Color Book</u> and standard soil texturing methodology. Hydric soil indicators used are from <u>Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States</u> (USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils, Version 7, 2010).

Plants were identified using standard regional plant keys. Taxonomy and indicator status of plant species was taken from the <u>2016 National Wetland Plant List</u> (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2016. National Wetland Plant List, version 3.3, Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH).

4. RESULTS

4.1 Review of NWI, Soils, Public Waters and NHD Information

The <u>National Wetlands Inventory (NWI)</u> (Minnesota Geospatial Commons 2009-2014 and <u>U.S.</u> <u>Fish and Wildlife Service</u>) showed one PEM1C/PFO1A wetland within the site (**Figure 3**).

The <u>Soil Survey</u> (USDA NRCS 2015) showed Muskego & Houghton as the hydric soil types within the review area. Soil types mapped on the property are listed in the table below and a map showing soil types is included in **Figure 4**.

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
L42B	Kingsley-Gotham complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes	0	1.4	57.10%
L50A	Muskego and Houghton soils, 0 to 1 percent slopes	100	0	1.20%
U4A	Urban land-Udipsamments (cut and fill land) complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0	0.2	10.10%
U6B	Urban land-Udorthents (cut and fill land) complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes	0	0.8	31.70%

The <u>Minnesota DNR Public Waters Inventory</u> (Minnesota Department of Natural Resources 2015) showed no DNR Public Waters, Wetlands or Watercourses within the site boundary. DNR Public Water 27-777P (unnamed) was shown to be less than 1000 feet from site boundaries (**Figure 5**).

The <u>National Hydrography Dataset</u> (U.S. Geological Survey 2015) did not show any features present on the site (**Figure 6**).

4.2 Wetland Determinations and Delineations

Potential wetlands were evaluated during field observations on September 30, 2016. One wetland was identified and delineated on the property (**Figure 2**). Corresponding data forms are included in **Appendix B**. The following description of the wetland and adjacent upland reflect conditions observed at the time of the field visit. Some tree canopy and herbaceous vegetation was beginning to senesce at the time of the wetland delineation. Climatic conditions were considered atypical (wet) based on available three-month antecedent precipitation data (**Appendix C**).

Wetland 1 was a Type 3 (PEM1C) shallow marsh wetland. Although some reed canary grass was observed along the east perimeter, it appeared to be within a sediment plume area and was not considered wet meadow. The wetland was inundated with approximately 1 inch of standing water at the boundary to 24 inches of standing water in the center. Wetland 1 covered 0.06 acres within the property boundary.

Adjacent upland at the sample location consisted of a wooded canopy as described in the introduction. Little to no understory vegetation was present. No primary hydrology indicators were not observed outside the wetland. Only one secondary indicator (FAC-Neutral Test) was observed.

The wetland boundary corresponded to the edge of inundation, a topographic rise, and a transition to sloping woodland. The wetland was shown as a PEM1C/PFO1A on the NWI map and was located in an area mapped hydric soil (Muskego & Houghton) on the soil survey. An apparently new culvert was located along the east wetland edge, offsite to the south.

No other areas with hydrophytic vegetation or wetland hydrology were observed on the site, and no other areas were shown as hydric soil on the soil survey or as wetland on the NWI map.

4.3 MnRAM Analysis

A MnRAM 3.4 analysis was completed for the delineated wetland, and output data in included in **Appendix D**. Wetland 1 was rated as a **Manage 2 wetland** based on the highest rated function of Low for Amphibian Habitat.

4.4 Request for Wetland Boundary and Jurisdictional Determination

Appendix A of this report includes a Joint Application Form for Activities Affecting Water Resources in Minnesota, which is submitted in request for: (1) a wetland boundary and type determination under the Minnesota Wetland Conservation Act (WCA), and (2) delineation concurrence and a Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination (PJD) under Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act.

5. CERTIFICATION OF DELINEATION

The procedures utilized in the described delineation are based on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1987 Wetlands Delineation Manual as required under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and the Minnesota Wetland Conservation Act. This wetland delineation and report were prepared in compliance with the regulatory standards in place at the time the work was performed.

Site boundaries indicated on figures within this report are approximate and do not constitute an official survey product.

Delineation completed by:

Melissa Lauterbach-Barrett, Soil Scientist Minnesota Certified Wetland Delineator No. 1085 Professional Soil Scientist No. 45067

Report prepared by:

Melissa Lauterbach-Barrett

Report reviewed by:

Date: October 4, 2016

Mark Kjolhaug, Professional Wetland Scientist No. 000845

Wetland Delineation Report

FIGURES

- 1. Site Location
- 2. Existing Conditions
- 3. National Wetlands Inventory
- 4. Soil Survey
- 5. DNR Protected Waters Inventory
- 6. National Hydrography Dataset



Source: ESRI Streets Basemap

and do not constitute an official survey product.



Figure 2 - Existing Conditions (2012 Metro Photo)



4312 Shady Oak Road (KES 2016-166) Minnetonka, Minnesota



Figure 3 - National Wetlands Inventory (2015 FSA Photo)



4312 Shady Oak Road (KES 2016-166) Minnetonka, Minnesota



Figure 4 - Soil Survey



4312 Shady Oak Road (KES 2016-166) Minnetonka, Minnesota



Figure 5 - DNR Public Waters Inventory



4312 Shady Oak Road (KES 2016-166) Minnetonka, Minnesota

Figure 6 - National Hydrography Dataset

4312 Shady Oak Road (KES 2016-166) Minnetonka, Minnesota

Wetland Delineation Report

APPENDIX A

Joint Application Form for Activities Affecting Water Resources in Minnesota

PART ONE: Applicant Information

If applicant is an entity (company, government entity, partnership, etc.), an authorized contact person must be identified. If the applicant is using an agent (consultant, lawyer, or other third party) and has authorized them to act on their behalf, the agent's contact information must also be provided.

Applicant/Landowner Name: Alisha gray, City of Minnetonka
Mailing Address: 14600 Minnetonka Blvd, Minnetonka, MN 55345
Phone: 952.939.8285
E-mail Address: Alisha Gray <agray@eminnetonka.com>

Authorized Contact (do not complete if same as above): Mailing Address: Phone: E-mail Address:

Agent Name:Melissa Barrett, Kjolhaug EnvironmentalMailing Address:26105 Wild Rose Lane, Shorewood, MN 55331Phone:952-401-8757E-mail Address:melissa@kjolhaugenv.com

PART TWO: Site Location Information

County:HennepinCity/Township:MinnetonkaParcel ID and/or Address:2311722420057 and 2311722420036Legal Description (Section, Township, Range):Sec 23, T117, R22Lat/Long (decimal degrees):Attach a map showing the location of the site in relation to local streets, roads, highways.Approximate size of site (acres) or if a linear project, length (feet):2.28-acres

If you know that your proposal will require an individual Permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, you must provide the names and addresses of all property owners adjacent to the project site. This information may be provided by attaching a list to your application or by using block 25 of the Application for Department of the Army permit which can be obtained at:

http://www.mvp.usace.army.mil/Portals/57/docs/regulatory/RegulatoryDocs/engform 4345 2012oct.pdf

PART THREE: General Project/Site Information

If this application is related to a delineation approval, exemption determination, jurisdictional determination, or other correspondence submitted *prior to* this application then describe that here and provide the Corps of Engineers project number.

Describe the project that is being proposed, the project purpose and need, and schedule for implementation and completion. The project description must fully describe the nature and scope of the proposed activity including a description of all project elements that effect aquatic resources (wetland, lake, tributary, etc.) and must also include plans and cross section or profile drawings showing the location, character, and dimensions of all proposed activities and aquatic resource impacts.

Application is for delineation approval/concurrence.

PART FOUR: Aquatic Resource Impact¹ Summary

If your proposed project involves a direct or indirect impact to an aquatic resource (wetland, lake, tributary, etc.) identify each impact in the table below. Include all anticipated impacts, including those expected to be temporary. Attach an overhead view map, aerial photo, and/or drawing showing all of the aquatic resources in the project area and the location(s) of the proposed impacts. Label each aquatic resource on the map with a reference number or letter and identify the impacts in the following table.

Aquatic Resource ID (as noted on overhead view)	Aquatic Resource Type (wetland, lake, tributary etc.)	Type of Impact (fill, excavate, drain, or remove vegetation)	Duration of Impact Permanent (P) or Temporary (T) ¹	Size of Impact ²	Overall Size of Aquatic Resource ³	Existing Plant Community Type(s) in Impact Area ⁴	County, Major Watershed #, and Bank Service Area # of Impact Area ⁵

¹If impacts are temporary; enter the duration of the impacts in days next to the "T". For example, a project with a temporary access fill that would be removed after 220 days would be entered "T (220)".

²Impacts less than 0.01 acre should be reported in square feet. Impacts 0.01 acre or greater should be reported as acres and rounded to the nearest 0.01 acre. Tributary impacts must be reported in linear feet of impact and an area of impact by indicating first the linear feet of impact along the flowline of the stream followed by the area impact in parentheses). For example, a project that impacts 50 feet of a stream that is 6 feet wide would be reported as 50 ft (300 square feet).

³This is generally only applicable if you are applying for a de minimis exemption under MN Rules 8420.0420 Subp. 8, otherwise enter "N/A". ⁴Use Wetland Plants and Plant Community Types of Minnesota and Wisconsin 3rd Ed. as modified in MN Rules 8420.0405 Subp. 2. ⁵Refer to Major Watershed and Bank Service Area maps in MN Rules 8420.0522 Subp. 7.

If any of the above identified impacts have already occurred, identify which impacts they are and the circumstances associated with each:

PART FIVE: Applicant Signature

Check here if you are requesting a <u>pre-application</u> consultation with the Corps and LGU based on the information you have provided. Regulatory entities will not initiate a formal application review if this box is checked.

By signature below, I attest that the information in this application is complete and accurate. I further attest that I possess the authority to undertake the work described herein.

Signature:

Date: 10/6/2016

I hereby authorize

to act on my behalf as my agent in the processing of this application and to furnish, upon request, supplemental information in support of this application.

¹ The term "impact" as used in this joint application form is a generic term used for disclosure purposes to identify activities that may require approval from one or more regulatory agencies. For purposes of this form it is not meant to indicate whether or not those activities may require mitigation/replacement.

Minnesota Interagency Water Resource Application Form February 2014

Attachment A

Request for Delineation Review, Wetland Type Determination, or Jurisdictional Determination

By submission of the enclosed wetland delineation report, I am requesting that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District (Corps) and/or the Wetland Conservation Act Local Government Unit (LGU) provide me with the following (check all that apply):

Wetland Type Confirmation

Delineation Concurrence. Concurrence with a delineation is a written notification from the Corps and a decision from the LGU concurring, not concurring, or commenting on the boundaries of the aquatic resources delineated on the property. Delineation concurrences are generally valid for five years unless site conditions change. Under this request alone, the Corps will not address the jurisdictional status of the aquatic resources on the property, only the boundaries of the resources within the review area (including wetlands, tributaries, lakes, etc.).

Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination. A preliminary jurisdictional determination (PJD) is a non-binding written indication from the Corps that waters, including wetlands, identified on a parcel may be waters of the United States. For purposes of computation of impacts and compensatory mitigation requirements, a permit decision made on the basis of a PJD will treat all waters and wetlands in the review area as if they are jurisdictional waters of the U.S. PJDs are advisory in nature and may not be appealed.

Approved Jurisdictional Determination. An approved jurisdictional determination (AJD) is an official Corps determination that jurisdictional waters of the United States are either present or absent on the property. AJDs can generally be relied upon by the affected party for five years. An AJD may be appealed through the Corps administrative appeal process.

In order for the Corps and LGU to process your request, the wetland delineation must be prepared in accordance with the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual, any approved Regional Supplements to the 1987 Manual, and the *Guidelines for Submitting Wetland Delineations in Minnesota* (2013).

http://www.mvp.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/DelineationJDGuidance.aspx

Wetland Delineation Report

APPENDIX B

Wetland Delineation Data Forms

WETLAND DETE	RMINATIO	ON DATA F	ORM - Mi	dwest Region	n	
Project/Site 4312 Shady Oak Road	City/0	County:	MTKA/He	nn Sampl	ing Date:	9-30-2016
Applicant/Owner: City of Minnetonka		State:	MN	Sampli	ing Point:	SP-1upl
Investigator(s): M. Barrett		Sect	ion, Townshi	p, Range:	Sec 23, 7	[117, R22
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): upper to	peslope	Local re	elief (concav	e, convex, none): lii	near to flat
Slope (%): 0-1 Lat:		Long:		Datum	ı:	
Soil Map Unit NameKingsley-Gotham			VWI C	Classification:	F	FO1A
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for	or this time o	of the year?	N (I	f no, explain in r	emarks)	
Are vegetation, soil, or hydrol	ogy	significantly	disturbed?	Are "no	ormal circums	stances"
Are vegetation , soil , or hydrol	ogy	naturally pro	oblematic?		ł	present? Yes
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS				(If needed, ex	plain any ans	wers in remarks.)
Hydrophytic vegetation present? Y						
Hydric soil present? N		Is the sa	ampled area	a within a wetla	nd?	N
Indicators of wetland hydrology present? N		f yes, op	tional wetlar	nd site ID:	37-	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in	a separate r	eport.)		~		
х Г	Climatic cor	ditions atvoic	al (wet).			
	- 1-		ai (iiot):			
VEGETATION Use scientific names of plan		<u> </u>		Dominanaa T	oot Worksh	at
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant	Status	Dominance	est worksne	et
1 Ulmus americana	20	Y	FACW	that are OBL, FA	ACW, or FAC:	2 (A)
2 Fraxinus pennsylvanica	20	<u> </u>	FACW	Total Numbe	er of Dominant	
3				Species Acr	oss all Strata:	2 (B)
4				Percent of Dom	inant Species	
5				that are OBL, F/	ACW, or FAC:	100.00% (A/B)
	40 =	Total Cover				
Sapling/Shrub stratur (Plot size: 15)				Prevalence In	ndex Worksh	leet
2			<u></u>	OBL species	ron: 0 v 1	= 0
3		·		FACW specie	$s \frac{1}{40} x^2$	= 80
4		<u> </u>		FAC species	0 x 3	= 0
5				FACU species	s 0 x 4	= 0
	0 =	Total Cover		UPL species	0 x 5	= 0
Herb stratum (Plot size: 5)				Column totals	(A)	<u>80</u> (B)
1				Prevalence In	dex = B/A =	2.00
2						
3				Hydrophytic	Vegetation I	ndicators:
4		<u> </u>			tor nyaropny	tic vegetation
6		<u> </u>		X Prevalence	e index is ≤ 3	0*
7		<u> </u>		Marphala	nicel edenteti	ono* (provido
8		·		supporting	data in Rem	arks or on a
9				separate s	sheet)	
10				Problema	tic hydrophyti	c vegetation*
	=	Total Cover		(explain)		
Woody vine stratum (Plot size: 30)	8			*Indicators of hy	dric soil and we	land hydrology must be
1				present,	unless disturbe	a or problematic
۷	<u> </u>	Total Cover		vegetatio	n	
				present?	Y	_
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet)					-
No understory vegetation present.						

SOIL

Profile Desc	cription: (Descri	be to the	e depth needed	to docur	nent the	indicato	or or confirm	the absence	e of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Re	dox Feat	ures				
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type*	Loc**	Tex	ture	Remarks
0-16	10YR 2/2	100					Sandy Loa	ım	
16-40	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy Sa	nd	
40-46	10YR 5/1	95	10YR 4/4	5	С	М	Sand		
*Type: C = C	Concentration D =	Denleti	D RM = Reduce	d Matrix	MS = M	asked Sa	and Grains	**Location	PL = Pore Lining M = Matrix
Hydric So	il Indicators:	Dopioti		a maan,	MO M		Indicato	ors for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils:
Hist	tosol (A1)		Sar	ndy Gleye	ed Matrix	(S4)	Coa	st Prairie Re	dox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Hist	tic Epipedon (A2)		Sar	ndy Redo	x (S5)		Dar	k Surface (S7	7) (LRR K, L)
Blac	ck Histic (A3)		Stri	pped Ma	trix (S6)		Iron	-Manganese	Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Hyd	lrogen Sulfide (A4	•)	Loa	my Mucł	ky Minera	al (F1)	Ver	y Shallow Da	rk Surface (TF12)
Stra	atified Layers (A5)	1	Loa	my Gley	ed Matrix	(F2)	Oth	er (explain in	remarks)
-2 cr	m Muck (A10)	~ ~	Det	pleted Ma	atrix (F3)	(50)			
	bleted Below Dark	Surface	(A11)Rec	lox Dark	Surface	(F6)			
	dy Mucky Minera	1(S1)		lox Depr	essions (E8)	^Indio	cators of hydr	ophytic vegetation and weltand
5 cr	n Mucky Peat or I	Peat (S3		lox Depr	00010110 (10)	nyu	rology must c	problematic
	Laver (if observe		, ,						
Type:	Layer (II Observe	su).					Hydrid	soil presen	t? N
Depth (inche	es):				-		nyan		
Pomorko:	·				•/;				
Remarks.									
HYDROLO	DGY								
Wetland Hy	drology Indicato	ors:							
Primary Indi	cators (minimum	of one is	required; check a	all that ap	oply)		5	Secondary Ind	dicators (minimum of two required)
Surface	Water (A1)			Aquatic	Fauna (B	13)		Surface	Soil Cracks (B6)
High Wa	iter Table (A2)		<u></u>	True Aq	uatic Plan	its (B14)	N N	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Saturatio	on (A3) Jarks (B1)			- Hydroge	Phizoen	Door (C1) Living Poote	Dry-Seas	Burrows (C8)
Sedimer	t Deposits (B2)			(C3)	i Kilizosp	neres on	Living Roots	Saturatio	on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Dep	oosits (B3)			Presenc	e of Redu	uced Iron	(C4)	Stunted	or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Ma	at or Crust (B4)			Recent I	ron Redu	ction in T	illed Soils	Geomor	phic Position (D2)
Iron Dep	oosits (B5)			(C6)				X FAC-Net	utral Test (D5)
Inundatio	on Visible on Aeria	I Imagery	(B7)	Thin Mu	ck Surfac	e (C7)			
Water-St	tained Leaves (B9)	ve Suriac	е (во)	Gauge c	or vveli Da Svolain in	ita (D9) Remarks)			
Field Obser	vations:	/		-		i ternarka			
Surface wate	er present?	Yes	No	х	Depth (i	nches):			
Water table	present?	Yes	No		Depth (i	nches):		. Ind	dicators of wetland
Saturation p	resent?	Yes	No	X	Depth (i	nches):		h h	ydrology present? N
(includes ca	pillary fringe)					15			
Describe rec	corded data (strea	m gauge	, monitoring well,	aerial pl	hotos, pre	evious ins	spections), if	available:	
Remarka:									
No wator	or saturation t	0.46 inc	hes						

WETLAND DETER	RMINATIO	ON DATA F	ORM - Mic	dwest Reg	jion	
Project/Site 4312 Shady Oak Road	City/C	County:	MTKA/Hen	in Sai	mpling Date:	9-30-2016
Applicant/Owner: City of Minnetonka		State:	MN	Sar	npling Point:	SP-1wet
Investigator(s): M. Barrett		Sect	ion, Township	, Range:	Sec 23, 7	[117, R22
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): depress	sion	Local re	elief (concave	e, convex, no	one): coi	ncave to flat
Slope (%): 0-1 Lat:		Long:		Da	tum:	
Soil Map Unit Name Kingsley-Gotham/Houghton Musk	ego transiti	on	VWI C	lassification:	PEM	IC/PFO1A
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for	r this time o	of the year?	N (If	no, explain	in remarks)	
Are vegetation , soil , or hydrolo	gy	significantly	disturbed?	Are	"normal circum	stances"
Are vegetation , soil , or hydrolo	gy	naturally pro	oblematic?	7.00	liointai oireanta	present? Yes
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS				(If needed,	explain any ans	wers in remarks.)
Hydrophytic vegetation present? Y						
Hydric soil present? Y		Is the sa	ampled area	within a we	tland?	Y
Indicators of wetland hydrology present? Y		f yes, op	tional wetland	d site ID:	Wetland 1	
Pomarka: (Evalain alternativa proceduras hara ar in a	conorato r	aport)				
Remarks. (Explain alternative procedures here of in a	separate n					
(Climatic con	iditions atypic	al (wet).			
VEGETATION Use scientific names of plant	ts.					
	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominanc	e Test Worksho	et
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	% Cover	Species	Status	Number of D	ominant Species	
1 Ulmus americana	20	<u> </u>	FACW	that are OBL	., FACW, or FAC:	(A)
2 Fraxinus pennsylvanica	20	<u> </u>	FACW	Total Nur Species	nber of Dominant	2 (B)
4		<u> </u>		Dercent of C	Across all Otrata.	(b)
5				that are OBL	, FACW, or FAC:	100.00% (A/B)
	40 =	Total Cover			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(/
Sapling/Shrub stratur (Plot size: 15)				Prevalenc	e Index Worksh	ieet
1				Total % Co	over of:	
2				OBL specie	es <u>0</u> x1	=
3				FACW spe	cies 40 x 2	= 80
4				FAC specie	es <u>0</u> x 3	= 0
5		Total Cover		FACU spec	$\frac{0}{2} \times 4$	= 0
Herb stratum (Plot size: 5)		· Total Cover		Column tot	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}$	= <u>0</u> 80 (B)
				Dravalana	radium = P/A =	<u>- 00</u> (B)
		<u> </u>		Prevalence	= 110ex - D/A -	
3		<u> </u>		Hydrophy	tic Vegetation I	ndicators:
4				Rapid	test for hydrophy	tic vegetation
5		<u> </u>		X Domin	ance test is >50°	%
6				X Prevale	ence index is ≤3.	.0*
7				Morpho	ological adaptati	ons* (provide
8				suppor	ting data in Rem	arks or on a
9				separa	te sheet)	
10		Tatal O		Proble	matic hydrophyti	c vegetation*
Woody vine stratum (Plot size: 20	=	Total Cover		(explai	n)	
1				*Indicators o pres	of hydric soil and we ent, unless disturbe	land hydrology must be d or problematic
2		<u> </u>		Hydro	phytic	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·	0 =	Total Cover		vegeta	tion	
				preser	nt? Y	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separa	ate sheet)					

Tree canopy hanging over wetland edge. Wetland edge not vegetated. Cattail further into wetland.

Profile Des	cription: (Descri	be to the	e depth needed	to docur	nent the	indicato	or or confirm th	e absence o	of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Re	dox Feat	ures				
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type*	Loc**	Textur	re	Remarks
0-6	10YR 4/1	95	10YR 4/6	5	С	М	Silt Loam		snail shells present
									1922 Juniter Call Browners Stock Constraint Internet
						<u> </u>			
*T	l Democratica De	l Developi		al Madaire				**1 +'	DI - Dava Linia - M - Matrix
Type: C = C	Joncentration, D =	- Depietio	Sn, Rivi = Reduce	ed Matrix,	1015 = 101	asked Sa	and Grains.	Location: I	PL = Pore Lining, M = Matrix
Hydric Sc	bil indicators:		0-			(04)	Indicators	Tor Probler	
HIS	tosol (A1)		Sa	nay Gleye	ed Matrix	(54)	Coast		$(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{L})$
HIS DI-	tic Epipedon (A2)		Sa	nay Read	(S5)		Dark S	langanese M	(LKK K, L)
— ^{Bia}	CK HISTIC (A3)		Str	ipped ivia	UIX (56)			aliyanese w	$C_{\text{res}} = (T \Gamma I 2) (LKK K, L, K)$
	arogen Suitide (A4	+)	Loa	amy Much	cy Minera	al (F1)	very S	nallow Dark	
	atified Layers (A5)	ļ	Lo	amy Gley	ed Matrix	K (FZ)	Other	(explain in re	emarks)
	m Muck (A10)	Curfore	(A11)De	pieted ivia	atrix (F3)	(50)			
	bleted Below Dark		(ATT) Re	dox Dark	Surrace	(F6)			
	ck Dark Surface (/	412)		dev Depr	irk Suria		*Indicat	ors of hydro	phytic vegetation and weltand
— Sar	ndy Mucky Minera	1 (51) Deet (62)	, <u> </u>	dox Depr	essions	(F8)	hydrol	ogy must be	present, unless disturbed or
^{5 Cl}	m Mucky Peat or I	Peat (53))					μ	lobernatic
Restrictive	Layer (if observe	ed):							
Туре:							Hydric s	oil present?	? <u>Y</u>
Depth (inche	es):				- V.				
Remarks:									
Wetland	inundated: bor	ehole c	ollapse at 6 ind	ches.					
HYDROLO	DGY								
Wetland Hy	drology Indicato	rs:							
Primary Indi	cators (minimum o	of one is	required; check	all that ap	(ylq		Sec	condary Indi	cators (minimum of two required)
X Surface	Water (A1)			Aquatic	Fauna (B	13)		Surface So	oil Cracks (B6)
X High Wa	ater Table (A2)			True Aq	uatic Plar	nts (B14)		Drainage F	Patterns (B10)
X Saturatio	on (A3)		<u></u>	Hydroge	n Sulfide	Odor (C1	1) —	Dry-Seaso	n Water Table (C2)
Water M	larks (B1)		.	Oxidized	Rhizosp	heres on	Living Roots	Crayfish B	urrows (C8)
Sedimer	nt Deposits (B2)			(C3)				Saturation	Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Dep	oosits (B3)			Presenc	e of Redu	uced Iron	(C4)	Stunted or	Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Ma	at or Crust (B4)			Recent I	ron Redu	iction in T	illed Soils	Geomorph	ic Position (D2)
Iron Dep	oosits (B5)		<u></u>	(C6)			\rightarrow	FAC-Neutr	ral Test (D5)
Inundati	on Visible on Aeria	I Imagery	(B7)	Thin Mu	ck Surfac	e (C7)			
Sparsely	/ Vegetated Conca	ve Surfac	e (B8)	Gauge c	or Well Da	ata (D9)			
Water-S	tained Leaves (B9))		Other (E	xplain in	Remarks)		
Field Obser	vations:								
Surface wat	er present?	Yes	X No		Depth (i	inches):	2		
Water table	present?	Yes	X No		Depth (i	inches):	2+	Indi	cators of wetland
Saturation p	resent?	Yes	X No		Depth (i	inches):		nyc	rology present? Y
(includes ca	pillary tringe)		1949 - 6090	. (9801931 N	2 20	52 7.9	27.27. (ng. 1.22.)		
Describe red	corded data (strea	im gauge	e, monitoring well	, aerial pl	notos, pr	evious in	spections), if av	ailable:	
Remarket									
indiks.									

Wetland Delineation Report

APPENDIX C

Precipitation Data

Minnesota Climatology Working Group *

State Climatology Office - DNR Division of Ecological and Water Resources University of Minnesota

home current conditions journal past data summaries agriculture other sites contact us search

Precipitation Worksheet Using Gridded Database

Precipitation data for target wetland location:

county: Hennepin	township number: 117N
township name: Minnetonka	range number: 22W
nearest community: Minnetonka Mills	section number: 23

Aerial photograph or site visit date: Friday, September 30, 2016

Score using 1981-2010 normal period

values are in inches A 'R' following a monthly total indicates a provisional value derived from radar-based estimates.	first prior month: August 2016	second prior month: July 2016	third prior month: June 2016
estimated precipitation total for this location:	7.59R	5.45	3.28
there is a 30% chance this location will have less than:	3.39	2.76	3.35
there is a 30% chance this location will have more than:	5.12	4.34	5.31
type of month: dry normal wet	wet	wet	dry
monthly score	3 * <mark>3</mark> = 9	2 * <mark>3</mark> = 6	1 * <mark>1</mark> = 1
	-		
multi-month score: 6 to 9 (dry) 10 to 14 (normal) 15 to 18 (wet)		16 (Wet)	

Other Resources:

- retrieve daily precipitation data
- view radar-based precipitation estimates
- view weekly precipitation maps
- Evaluating Antecedent Precipitation Conditions (BWSR)

Wetland Delineation Report

APPENDIX D

MnRAM Analysis Output Results

Management Classification Report for WL1 4312 Shady Oak

4312 Shady Oak

ID: 99

HENNEPIN County Minnesota (Shakopee) Watershed, #33 Corps Bank Service Area 9

Based on the MnRAM data input from field and office review and using the classification settings as shown below, this wetland is classified as Manage 2

Functional rank of this we based on MnRAM data	tland Functional Category ^S	Self-defined classification value ettings for this management level
Low	Vegetative Diversity/Integrity	Moderate
Low	Habitat Structure (wildlife)	Moderate
Low	Amphibian Habitat	Low
Not Applicable	Fish Habitat	Moderate
Not Applicable	Shoreline Protection	Low
Low	Aesthetic/Cultural/Rec/Ed and Habitat	Moderate / Low
Moderate	Stormwater/Urban Sensitivity and Vegetative Diversi	ty -/-
Low	Wetland Water Quality and Vegetative Diversity	-/-
Low	Characteristic Hydrology and Vegetative Diversity	-/-
Moderate	Flood/Stormwater Attenuation*	.
Not Applicable	Commericial use*	-
Low	Downstream Water Quality*	-1

The critical function that caused this wetland to rank as Manage 2 was Maintenance of Characteristic Amphibian Habitat

Details of the formula for this action are shown below:

Maintenance of Characteristic Amphibian Habitat (Q43) * [(Q44 + 2*Q23wildlife + Q14 +Q 41 + Q20 reversed)/6]

Question	Value	Description
14	0.1	Upland land use
20	0.5	Stormwater runoff
23	0.5	Buffer width
41	0.1	Wildlife barriers
43	1	Amphib breeding potentialfish presence
44	0.1	Amphib & reptile overwintering habitat

This report was printed on: Monday, October 03, 2016

* The classification value settings for these functions are not adjustable

Wetland Functional Assessment Summary		Maintenance of	Flood/	Downstream	Maintenance of Wetland	
Wetland Name	Hydrogeomorphology	Hydrologic Regime	Stormwater/ Attenuation	Water Quality	Water Quality	Shoreline Protection
WL1 4312 Shady Oak	Depressional/Isolated (no discernable inlets or outlets)	0.30	0.50	0.32	0.26	0.00
		Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Not Applicable

Wetland Name			Maintenance of Ince of Characteristic eristic Amphibian abitat Habitat	Aesthetics/ Recreation/ Education/ Cultural			Additional Information		
	Maintenance of Characteristic Wildlife Habitat Structure	Maintenance of Characteristic Fish Habitat			Commercial Uses	Ground- Water Interaction	Wetland Restoration Potential	Wetland Sensitivity to Stormwater and Urban Development	Additional Stormwater Treatment Needs
WL1 4312 Shady Oak	0.32	0.00	0.17	0.15	0.00	Combination Discharge, Recharge	0.00	0.10	0.26
	Low	Not Applicable	Low	Low	Not Applicable		Not Applicable	Moderate	Low

Wetland Community Summary

			Vegetative Diversity/Integrity							
Wetland Name	Location	Cowardin Classification	Co Circula 39	ommunity r Plant Community	Wetland Proportion	Individual Community Rating	Highest Wetland Rating	Average Wetland Rating	Weighted Average Wetland Rating	
WL1 4312 Shady Oak	27-117-22-23-001	PEM1C	Туре 3	Shallow Marsh	100	0.1	0.10	0.10	0.10	1
		, <u> </u>					Low	Low	Low	
					100		0.10	0.10	0.10	

Denotes incomplete calculation data.

MnRAM: Site Response Record

For Wetland: WL1 4312 Shady Oak Location: 27-117-22-23-001

4312 Shady Oak

Plant Community: Shallow M	arsh
Cowardin Classification: PEM1C	Circular 39: Type 3
4 Listed, rare, special species?	No
5 Rare community or habitat?	No
6 Pre-European-settlement condition	on? No
Hydrogeomorphology / topograp 7 Depress	<i>hy:</i> ional/Isolated
8-1 Maximum water depth	24 inche
8-2 % inundated	100%
9 Immediate drainagelocal WS	5 acres
10 Esimated size/existing site:	(see #66)

11-Upland Soil

11-Wetland Soil

12 Outlet for flood control	В
13 Outlet for hydro regime	в
14 Dominant upland land use	С
15 Wetland soil condition	С
16 Vegetation (% cover)	90%
17 Emerg. veg flood resistance	NA
18 Sediment delivery	С
19 Upland soils (soil group)	в
20 Stormwater runoff	В
21 Subwatershed wetland density	В
22 Channels/sheet flow	Α
23 Adjacent buffer width	15 feet
Adjacent area management	
24-A Full	50%
24-B Manicured	50%
24-C Bare	0%
Adjacent area diversity/structure	2
25-A Native	0%
25-B Mixed	100%
25-C Sparse	0%

Adjacent area slope

26-A Gentle	0%
26-B Moderate	100%
26-C Steep	0%
27 Downstream sens./WQ protect	ct. C
28 Nutrient loading	В
29 Shoreline wetland?	No
Shoreline Wetland	
30 Rooted veg., % cover	0%
31 Wetland in-water width	0 fee
32 Emerg. veg. erosion resistance	е
33 Erosion potential of site	
34 Upslope veg./bank protection	
35 Rare wildlife?	No
36 Scare/Rare/S1/S2 community	No
37 Vegetative cover	в
38 Veg. community interspersion	n NA
39 Wetland detritus	С
40 Interspersion on landscape	в
41 Wildlife barriers	С

42	Hydroperiod adequacy	Adequate
43	Fish presence	А
44	Overwintering habitat	С
45	Wildlife species (list)	
46	Fish habitat quality	NA
47	Fish species (list)	
48	Unique/rare opportunity	No
49	Wetland visibility	С
50	Proximity to population	No
51	Public ownership	С
52	Public access	С
53	Human influence on wetland	С
54	Human influence on viewshed	С
55	Spatial buffer	В
56	Recreational activity potential	С
57	Commercial crophydro impact	NA

Groundwater-specific questions

58	Wetland soils	Discharge			
59	Subwatershed land use Rechard				
60	Wetland size/soil group	Discharge			
61	Wetland hydroperiod	Recharge			
62	Inlet/Outlet configuration	Recharge			
63	Upland topo relief	Discharge			
Add	litional information				
64	Restoration potential	No			
65	LO affected by restoration				
66	Existing size	0.9			
	Restorable size	0			
	Potential new wetland	0			
67	Average width of pot. buffer	0 feet			
68	Ease of potential restoration				
69	Hydrologic alterations	0			
70	Potential wetland type	0			
71	Stormwater sensitivity	В			
72	Additional treatment needs	А			
Wate	rshed Minnesota (Shakop	ee)			
WS#	33 Service Area	a: 9			

For functional ratings, please run the Summary tab report. This report printed on: 10/3/2016